# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,158	06/25/2001		William A. White III	SAA-57	8179
23569	7590	06/30/2004	EXAMINER HUYNH, KIM T		
SQUARE I		'ANY ROPERTY DEPARTN			
1415 SOUT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PALATINE	IL 600	067	2112		

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				"			
	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/888,158	WHITE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kim T. Huynh	2112				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence add	lress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of the seriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statule, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this cor ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>6/25/01</u> .					
· · · · · ·	•	This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction as ion Papers	hdrawn from consideration.					
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
-	The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June 2001</u> is/ar		ected to by the Examiner.				
· · · <b>/</b> —	Applicant may not request that any objection to						
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	·					
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ie Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action of form P 1	O-152.			
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bece the attached detailed Office action for the second	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National S	Stage			
_							
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-7, 10-21, 29-32, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jammes (Pub No US20020194365)

As per claim 1, Jammes discloses system comprising:

- a Modbus device having an embedded automation application, the Modbus device being operably connected to a communication bus;
   [0023-0024], [0006]
- a fieldbus coupler operably connected to the automation application
   via the communication bus; [0024], [0006]
- a network being operably connected to the fieldbus coupler, the network including a network node having a table for holding data and parameters transmitted or received throughout the system; and, [0056]
- a protocol utilized by the automation application to access the network node. [0024], [0047]

As per claimd 2, 29, Jammes discloses wherein the protocol comprises:

- a Modbus message frame comprising: [0047]
- a header having an address identifier; [0039]
- a trailer having an error verifier; and, [0036]
- a Modbus function code encapsulated between the header and the trailer, wherein the automation application transmits a network message embedded within the Modbus function code to the network node table. [0035-0040], [0066]

As per claims 3,17, 30, Jammes discloses wherein the Modbus function code comprises a Modbus sub-function code. [0024-26]
As per claims 4, 18, Jammes discloses wherein the Modbus function code comprises: a read/write function code having a read/write bit, the read/write function code further being operably responsive to the read/write bit wherein the read/write function code reads or writes the network node table. [0024], [0048-0049]

As per claims 5. 19, 31, Jammes discloses wherein the read/write function code comprises: an index and a sub-index defining a location within the network node table; and, a starting address, the starting address is an offset into the network node being referenced by the index and the sub-index. [0049-0051]

As per claims 6, 20, 32, Jammes discloses wherein the read/write function code comprises: a byte amount defining an amount of bytes, the starting address and the byte amount defining a portion within the

network node table to be read or written by the read/write function code wherein the automation application can directly access the portion of the network node table. [0047], [0057]

As per claims 7, 21, Jammes discloses wherein the Modbus function code comprises a plurality of Modbus function codes encapsulated within the Modbus message frame.[0024]

As per claim 10, Jammes discloses an automation control system comprising:

- a fieldbus coupler operably connected to a Modbus communication bus; [0024], [0006]
- a Modbus device having an automation application, the Modbus device being operably connected to the fieldbus coupler via the Modbus communication bus; [0023-0024], [0006]
- a Modbus protocol for communicating between the Modbus device and the fieldbus coupler; a network communication bus being operably connected to the field bus coupler; [0024], [0006]
- a network device being operably connected to the fieldbus coupler via the network communication bus; a network protocol for communication between the network device and the fieldbus coupler; [0024], [0056]
- the Modbus device and the network device being in communication with each other wherein the fieldbus coupler facilitates communication between the Modbus device and the

network device by converting to and from the Modbus protocol and the network protocol. [0047]

As per claim 11, Jammes discloses wherein the fieldbus coupler comprises:

- a fieldbus physical layer transceiver being operably connected to the Modbus communication bus; [0024], [0006]
- a Modbus to network bridge being operably connected to a network
   driver and the physical layer transceiver; [0023-0024], [0006]
- a fieldbus driver being operably connected to the network driver;
   [0056]
- a fieldbus network table being operably connected to the network driver; and, [0056]
- a fieldbus network transceiver being operably connected to the
   network driver and the network communication bus. [0024], [0006]

As per claim 12, Jammes discloses wherein the Modbus device comprises:

- a Modbus physical layer transceiver being operably connected to the Modbus communication bus; [0023-0024], [0006]
- a Modbus driver being operably connected to the Modbus physical layer transceiver; and, [0056]
- an automation application being operably connected to the Modbus driver. [0024], [0056]

As per claim 13, Jammes discloses wherein the network device comprises:

- a network transceiver being operably connected to the network communication bus; [0023-0024], [0006]
- a network driver being operably connected to the network transceiver; [0056]
- a network table being operably connected to the network driver; and, a field application being operably connected to the network driver. [0024], [0056]

As per claim 16, Jammes discloses Modbus communication protocol for an automation system executing an automation application, the automation system comprising a fieldbus coupler being operably connected between a Modbus network having a Modbus device and a network having a network device including a network table, the Modbus communication protocol comprising: a Modbus message frame comprising:

- a header having an address identifier; [0039]
- a trailer having an error verifier; and, [0036]
- a Modbus function code encapsulated between the header and the trailer, wherein the automation application transmits a network message embedded within the Modbus function code to the network device table. [0035-0040]

3. Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dube's et al. (US Patent 6,434,157)

As per claims 24, Dube discloses method of transmitting a network message in an automation system comprising a network and a Modbus network, the method comprising the steps of:

- providing a network message embedded within a Modbus function code; (col.2, lines 7-15)
- transmitting the Modbus function code to a network node; (col.2, lines 7-15)
- extracting the network message; and, (col.1, lines 58-65)
- executing the network message wherein the network node being capable of interacting with the Modbus network. (col.2, lines 1-15)

As per claim 25, Dube discloses accessing a portion of the table. (col.2,lines 17-25)

As per claim 26, Dube discloses embedding a network response message within a response Modbus function code; and, transmitting the response Modbus function code to the Modbus network. (col.2, lines 1-30)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 8-9, 22-23, 27-28, 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jammes (Pub No US20020194365) in view of Stutz (Pub No US20020128986)

Jammes discloses all the limitations as above except the network is CANopen. However, Stutz discloses network controller handles CANopen related protocol. [0047]

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Stuz's teaching into Jammes's system so as to have the advantages to have communication for a franking machine which would overcome the disadvantages of previous franking machines. [0016]

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Huynh whose telephone number is (703)305-5384 or via e-mail addressed to [kim.huynh3@uspto.gov]. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (703) 305-4815 or via e-mail addressed to [mark.rinehart@uspto.gov]. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)306-5631

Kim Huynh

June 27, 2004

MARK H. RINEMART SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100